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ABSTRACT

Abdominal cocoon is a rare entity with no known aetiology and is a clinical curiosity in the surgical field, and a management dilemma for surgeons. Treatment may include excision of the accessory peritoneal sac with lysis of the inter-loop adhesions. Bowel resection is unnecessary unless a nonviable segment is found. However, if there are no signs of acute abdominal symptoms after diagnosing abdominal cocoon syndrome pre-operatively, surgery is unnecessary. We presenting a case of a 47-year-old male who had laparotomy noted an entirely frozen abdomen which was depicted as a cocoon. The stomach was only identified due to feeling the position of the NGT, no other anatomy was delineated. A peritoneal biopsy was taken prior to closure. Post operatively he was given parenteral and trickle enteral nutritional support and was discharged home with PEG gastrostomy for feeding.
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INTRODUCTION

Abdominal cocoon is a rare entity with no known aetiology and is a clinical curiosity in the surgical field. It is characterized by encapsulation of part or whole of the small bowel by a fibrous membrane [1] and can present with intestinal obstruction [2]. Abdominal cocoon phenomenon is a rare case of sclerosing encapsulating peritonitis of the abdomen; first described in 1970s. Varying lengths of small bowel are encased in a fibrocollagenous sac; and since its description there has been various amendments to its understanding and terminology [3–5]. Abdominal cocoon remains a management dilemma for surgeons. Treatment may include excision of the accessory peritoneal sac with lysis of the inter-loop adhesions. Bowel resection is unnecessary unless a nonviable segment is found. However, if there are no signs of acute abdominal symptoms after diagnosing abdominal cocoon syndrome pre-operatively, surgery is unnecessary.

CASE REPORT

A 47-year-old indigenous male presented to our institution with abdominal pain. Clinical and radiological features were suggestive of high grade gastric outlet obstruction.
He has a background of rate controlled atrial fibrillation and Cor Pulmonale secondary to Obstructive Sleep Apnoea.

On presentation, an abdominal computed tomography (CT) contrast study noted the stomach; first, second and proximal third parts of the duodenum to be markedly distended, with a transition point at the mid third part of duodenum with no mass or thickening identified.

An emergency gastroscopy reported a grossly dilated stomach to second part of duodenum with difficulty passing the scope due to perhaps an intraluminal obstruction. A subsequent laparotomy noted an entirely frozen abdomen which was depicted as a cocoon (Figures 1, 2). The stomach was only identified due to feeling the position of the NGT, no other anatomy was delineated. A peritoneal biopsy was taken prior to closure, which was reported a reactive myofibroblastic proliferation. Histopathological examination revealed spindle cell proliferation (Figure 3). Post operatively, he was given parenteral and trickle enteral nutritional support, and was discharged home with PEG gastrostomy for feeding. Unfortunately, patient did not attend hospital outpatient clinic for follow-up.

**DISCUSSION**

Abdominal cocoon phenomenon is a rare case of sclerosing encapsulating peritonitis of the abdomen; first described in 1970s. Varying lengths of small bowel are encased in a fibrocollagenous sac; and since its description there has been various amendments to its understanding and terminology [3–5].

Type I abdominal cocoon is partial encapsulation of the intestine, Type II is complete encapsulation of the entire intestine, and Type III cocoon syndrome is encasement of the entire intestine along with other intra-abdominal organs like appendix, caecum, ascending colon and ovaries. Encapsulation of bowel leads to acute or chronic bowel obstruction [3, 5, 6].

Abdominal cocoon has been classified as primary and secondary based on whether it is idiopathic or has a definite cause. The aetiology of the primary form is uncertain, while secondary abdominal cocoon is thought to be secondary to tuberculosis, desmoid tumors and an association with Familial Adenomatous Polyposis (FAP). Complications from long term peritoneal dialysis and porto-venous shunting have been described [3, 7, 8].

In our case the peritoneal biopsies were reported as a spindle cell proliferation; with negative staining for carcinoid, GIST and other tumors and thus inconclusive in terms of management. An annotation of the initial histopathology reported a reactive myofibroblastic proliferation and no features of a diagnostic malignant mesothelioma.
Suggestions included venting PEG gastrotomy for feeding – with radiological guided feeding distal to the small bowel stricture for short term feeding. Tamoxifen and non-steroidal were also recommended as a more conservative approach to what is, a benign process. Based on the macroscopic appearance of the small bowel, it was suggested his long term prognosis to be likely poor.

We are thus left with a challenging entity of the abdominal cocoon; and perhaps with unanswered questions in terms of future treatment goals. However, if there are no signs of acute abdominal symptoms after diagnosing abdominal cocoon syndrome pre-operatively, surgery is unnecessary [9].

**CONCLUSION**

Abdominal cocoon remains a management dilemma for surgeons. Treatment may include excision of the accessory peritoneal sac with lysis of the inter-loop adhesions. Bowel resection is unnecessary unless a nonviable segment is found.
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**Figure 3:** Histopathological slides of the peritoneal biopsy taken at 2x, 10x and 20x power respectively. The histology demonstrates spindle cell proliferation.
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