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ABSTRACT

Bowel cancer incidence has been increasing worldwide. It is the fourth most common cancer among men and the third most common among women. Screening test like Faecal Occult Blood Test (FOBT) and Colonoscopy are particularly important for early detection of asymptomatic bowel cancer. Although colonoscopy is almost always safe but complications may occur. Most complications are mild and self-resolving, such as abdominal and anal pain, flatulence, and diarrhoea. The most serious complication of colonoscopy is iatrogenic colonoscopic perforation. The overall reported incidence of perforation ranges from 0.1–0.3% for diagnostic colonoscopy and from 0.4–1.0% for therapeutic colonoscopy. Since its first introduction in 1969, colonoscopy is considered the gold standard method for the diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up of colorectal cancer. Hereby, presenting a case study of an 83-year-old man, who had iatrogenic colonoscopic perforation post elective colonoscopy, which was successfully managed laparoscopically. Laparoscopic treatment seems to reduce the invasiveness and morbidity of major surgery. At the same time, it is more definitive than conservative treatment. Therefore, use of laparoscopic techniques have become the preferred method to treat colonoscopy related colonic perforations. Since colonoscopic perforations are rare, the management of this complication by laparoscopic procedure is poorly defined. By presenting this case study and review of literatures we would like to demonstrate that laparoscopic approach is a safe option for treatment and diagnosis of selected colonoscopic perforations.
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INTRODUCTION

Bowel cancer incidence is increasing world wide [1], it is the second most common cancer affecting people in Australia, one in 21 men and one in 30 women will develop bowel cancer before the age of 75.

Screening test is particularly important for early detection of bowel cancer, which often has no symptoms in its early stages. Faecal occult blood test (FOBT) looks for microscopic traces of blood in the stools, which may be a sign of polyps, cancer or another bowel condition.
But it is only for low-risk people without symptoms of bowel cancer. Anyone with symptoms of bowel cancer or have a strong family history or a genetic condition linked to bowel cancer need screening colonoscopies.

Although colonoscopy is almost always safe [2, 3] but complications may occur. Most complications are mild and self-resolving, such as abdominal and anal pain, flatulence, and diarrhoea. The most serious complication of colonoscopy is iatrogenic colonoscopic perforation (ICP). The overall reported incidence of perforation ranges from 0.1–0.3% for diagnostic colonoscopy and from 0.4–1.0% for therapeutic colonoscopy [4, 5].

Laparoscopic treatment seems to reduce the invasiveness and morbidity of major surgery. At the same time, it is more definitive than conservative treatment, so that we now prefer to use laparoscopic techniques to treat colonic perforations related to colonoscopy.

Colonoscopic perforations is rare, therefore management of this complication, and appropriate approach such as laparoscopic surgery, has been poorly defined. By presenting this case and review of literatures we would like to demonstrate that laparoscopic approach is a safe option for treatment and diagnosis of selected colonoscopic perforations, we recommend multi centre randomised trials to show its effectiveness.

**CASE REPORT**

An 83-year-old man was admitted for elective gastroscopy and colonoscopy for investigation of anaemia. His past medical history included CCF, T2DM, HTN, and Prostate ca.

During the procedure he was sedated with propofol, fentanyl and midazolom, and the procedure was performed by a colorectal surgeon. He had an uncomplicated gastroscopy. Colonoscopy viewed to sigmoid colon, which revealed severe sigmoid diverticular disease and an acute bend of the sigmoid colon. Whilst passing through the sigmoid bend, the patient was noted to have developed abdominal distension, therefore, the procedure was abandoned.

A physical examination revealed severe abdominal distension, and it was recognised that the patient had iatrogenic colonoscopic perforation. After an informed consent was obtained from the family, the patient was proceeded to laparoscopic surgical management of the bowel perforation. Intra-operative finding revealed herniation of the sigmoid colon through the inguinal canal (Figure 1). After reduction of the hernia, sigmoid colonic perforation was identified (Figure 2) and repaired with 3/0 polydioxanone suture in two layers (Figure 3).

During the operation a decision was made to repair the hernia as an elective inpatient procedure with using a mesh. Due to tortuosity of the sigmoid colon the inguinal hernia was not detected during the colonoscopy.

Post-operatively the patient made a good recovery, opened his bowel on day two and was able to tolerate normal diet. He had an uncomplicated open left inguinal hernia repair with a mesh on day four of the original operation and was discharged home the next day.
DISCUSSION

Bowel cancer is the second most common cancer affecting people in Australia. It is estimated that about 15,000 people are diagnosed with bowel cancer every year. Since its first introduction in 1969, colonoscopy is considered the gold standard method for the diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up of colorectal cancer [6]. About one in 21 men and one in 30 women will develop bowel cancer before the age of 75. It is most common in people over 50, but it can occur at any age [7].

Screening test is particularly important for early detection of bowel cancer, which often has no symptoms in its early stages. Faecal occult blood test (FOBT) looks for microscopic traces of blood in the stools, which may be a sign of polyps, cancer or another bowel condition. But it is only for low-risk people without symptoms of bowel cancer. Anyone with symptoms of bowel cancer or have a strong family history or a genetic condition linked to bowel cancer need screening colonoscopies, or other less commonly used tests are CT colonography and flexible sigmoidoscopy.

Although colonoscopy is almost always safe [2, 3] but complications may occur. Most complications are mild and self-resolving, such as abdominal and anal pain, flatulence, and diarrhoea. The most serious complication of colonoscopy is iatrogenic colonoscopic perforation. The overall reported incidence of perforation ranges from 0.1% to 0.3% for diagnostic colonoscopy and from 0.4% to 1.0% for therapeutic colonoscopy [4, 5].

There are various risk factors such as advanced age, female gender, multiple comorbidities, and therapeutic intervention that may play a role in iatrogenic colonoscopic perforation [8]. Other factors included are endoscopist skill [9, 10], and training level, and effect of sedation on colonoscopic perforation [11, 12–14].

Inguinal hernia increases risk of incarceration as well as risk of perforation. Left sided inguinal hernia being the most common. Most Importantly, a careful history and a thorough physical examination of the inguinal region should be performed before a patient undergoes colonoscopy to avoid the risk of colonoscopic incarceration and perforation.

The most common site of perforation is the sigmoid colon (53–65%), followed by the cecum, the ascending colon, the transverse colon, the descending colon, and the rectum [15–19].

If a perforation is suspected an upright or decubitus abdominal radiographs can detect small amounts of free peritoneal air, but they are insensitive to the presence of fluid. If the clinical suspicion of ICP persists after a normal plain radiograph, a computed tomography (CT) scan with contrast enhancement can easily detect small amounts of both free intra-peritoneal air and fluids, and in some cases the foci of the gas congregating near the perforation site [20].

There are different treatment alternatives for ICP, including conservative, endoscopic, and surgical approaches. In order to minimize morbidity and mortality, prompt operative intervention is the best strategy in most patients once perforation occurs [21]. Traditionally exploratory laparotomy, bowel resection with or without stoma has been performed for the colonoscopic perforation [15].

To reduce the invasiveness of major surgery and avoid the risk of conservative treatment failure, laparoscopic techniques are the preferred method to deal with iatrogenic colonic perforations. The role of laparoscopy in the management of iatrogenic colonoscopic injuries has increased as numerous case reports and case series have described the successful management of this complication using various laparoscopic approaches [21–25].

The main advantages of the laparoscopic approach include an improved ability to localise the site of the perforation and, where appropriate, secure repair under direct vision [26]. The benefits of minimally invasive surgery are reduced postoperative ileus and pain, leading to a reduced length of stay and early return to normal activities [23].

However, indication for the procedure, quality of the bowel preparation, level of abdominal contamination and details of the suspected perforation site may assist in making a decision regarding appropriate approach [24].

Discussion with the endoscopists regarding details of the suspected perforation may assist in forthcoming decision re appropriate approach. Information regarding the indication for the procedure and quality of bowel preparation may assist in the forthcoming decision making [24] while presenting clues regarding contamination. Furthermore, discussion with the endoscopist may yield details regarding the suspected perforation site, cause of the perforation, other polyp location(s), and whether the injury and its extent were visualised [22] which are also vital adjuncts to decision making.

The decision to convert to a laparotomy should be considered based on the surgeon’s skill, patient’s stability, and concern regarding the integrity of repair or difficulty identifying the perforation site [27–29]. The application of diagnostic laparoscopy to identify the perforation site, followed by conversion to a focused mini-laparotomy, has also been described [28–32] and is a safe option.

Colonoscopic perforations are rare, therefore management of this complication, and appropriate approach such as laparoscopic surgery, has been poorly defined. Similarly, the low incidence of this condition has contributed to the lack of prospective randomized studies to solidify evidence showing the benefits of laparoscopic repair.

CONCLUSION

Laparoscopic treatment seems to reduce the invasiveness and morbidity of major surgery. There is a need for a multi centre prospective randomized studies to solidify current evidence showing the benefits
of laparoscopic repair in iatrogenic colonoscopic perforations.
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